

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/540,947	03/31/2000	Karim Mohie El Din Hussein	MIT-057AUS	2548	
22494 75	10/03/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP SUITE 101			EDELMAN, E	EDELMAN, BRADLEY E	
275 TURNPIKI	E STREET :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANTON, MA 02021-2310			2153		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/540,947	HUSSEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley Edelman	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ib(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 h	<u> 1arch 2000</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Indicated and Indic						
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· ·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>7</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) - Application/Control Number: 09/540,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to a conferencing system that uses agents to provide participants with means to communicate, classified in class 709, subclass 202.
 - II. Claims 6-20, drawn to a specific facilitator agent that can learn a user's communication preferences to present a user with pertinent information, classified in class 706, subclass 45.
 - III. Claims 21-22, drawn to a specific social agent for learning how to represent individuals that participate in conferences, classified in class 706, subclass 10.
 - IV. Claims 23-29, drawn to a specific networking scheme for converting data passed between users in a computer conferencing system, and for synchronizing packets sent between the computer systems, classified in class 709, subclass 246 and 248.
 - V. Claims 30-37, drawn to a specific floor control strategy for a computer conferencing system, classified in class 345, subclass 755.
 - VI. Claims 38-52, drawn to a server system for managing network conferences, including forum control for participants, classified in class 709, subclass 205.

- Application/Control Number: 09/540,947 Page 3

Art Unit: 2153

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- a. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the specific features of the facilitator agent described in the subcombination are not necessary to successfully implement the invention of the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a conferencing system that does not include a social agent.
- b. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the specific features of the social agent described in the subcombination are not necessary to successfully implement the invention of the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a conferencing system that does not include a facilitator agent.
- c. Inventions IV, V, VI, and the group of I-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention

- Application/Control Number: 09/540,947

Art Unit: 2153

IV has separate utility such as synchronizing computers in a network and translating data to ensure a coherent view; Invention V has separate utility such as controlling which of a group of conferencing participants may control the floor; invention VI has separate utility such as maintaining a record of conference proceedings to keep track of meeting membership and other meeting control strategies; and inventions I-III have a separate utility such as providing a learning system that allows a conferencing agent to present a user with relevant information. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Christopher Daly on September 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley Edelman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3041. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

For all correspondences: (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

BE

September 29, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100